

## Synthesis of Propargylic Ethers via *Lewis*-Acid Mediated Nucleophilic Substitution of Propargylic Esters

A. Bartels, R. Mahrwald\*, S. Quint

Institut für Organische und Bioorganische Chemie, Humboldt-Universität Hessische Str. 1-2 10155 Berlin, Germany

Received 20 April 1999; revised 22 June 1999; accepted 23 June 1999

Abstract: Direct displacement of propargylic esters is reported. 10 mol% of TiCl<sub>4</sub> were used to carry out this novel, nucleophilic substitution. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. Keywords: alkynes; substitution; ethers; catalysis.

A range of metal-catalyzed reactions of propargylic compounds are known, in particular palladium-catalyzed reactions. Most of these reactions yield in the corresponding allenic systems and subsequent rearranged derivatives. But only little is known about the nucleophilic substitution of the propargylic system. Reactions of propargylic acetates with C-nucleophiles were described by Macdonald et al. They used alkylcuprates in this process for C-C-bond formation. Displacement reactions by using cobalt complexes of propargylic alcohols were described by Nicholas et al. Several nucleophiles were reacted with the Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> complexes to give the substituted propargylic compounds.

Herein we describe a simple, direct nucleophilic substitution of propargylic ester. During our investigations to the rearrangement of the propargylic system<sup>5</sup> we found that *Lewis* acids mediate the nucleophilic substitution of propargylic acetates. High yields were obtained by TiCl<sub>4</sub>-mediated displacement reactions.

Table 1. Reactions of propargylic acetates with alcohols<sup>6</sup>

entry	$\mathbb{R}^1$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\mathbb{R}^3$	product	yield / %
1	Ph	Ph	Me	2a	95 <sup>8</sup>
2	Ph	Ph	Et	2b	65 <sup>9</sup>
3	Ph	Ph	<i>i</i> Pr	2c	59
4	Ph	Ph	<i>t</i> Bu	2d	55
5	Ph	<i>n</i> Bu	Ph	2e	45
6	Ph	<i>n</i> Bu	Me	2f	76 <sup>10</sup>
7	Ph	<i>n</i> Bu	Et	2g	68 <sup>11</sup>
8	Ph	<i>n</i> Bu	<i>i</i> Pr	2h	42

In order to determine the scope and limitations of this reaction, other nucleophiles were also examined. A decrease in yield is observed with alcohols in the order of MeOH, EtOH, iPrOH, iBuOH. At the same time an increasing formation of hydrolysis products arises. Substances derived from the formation of the corresponding allenic system or from rearrangement could not be detected.<sup>5,7</sup> Even alcohols with a low degree of

nucleophilicity resulted in the expected ether in good yields under these reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 5). In addition the successful performance of this reaction depends on the substituents R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> in the propargylic moiety. High yields were observed by reacting diphenylsubstituted propargylic esters (Table 1, entries 1 - 4) whereas lower yields were observed in reactions of monophenyl-substituted propargylic esters (Table 1, entries 5 - 8). No reactions were obtained in TiCl<sub>4</sub>-mediated reactions, if R<sup>1</sup> represents an alkyl substituent. The decreasing stabilization of the formed cation during the reaction seems to be responsible for this tendency. Products derived from hydrolysis were mostly obtained.

One can overcome this problem by using catalytic amounts of trifluoromethansulfonates as *Lewis*-acids in these displacement reactions. By using 10 mol% of trimethylsilyltriflate, the propargylic methylether is obtained in about 40 % yield (R<sup>1</sup>=nPr, R<sup>2</sup>=Ph, R<sup>3</sup>=Me). Similiar results were obtained in reactions of equimolar amounts of the very unstable propargylic triflates with alcohols.<sup>12</sup>

1

Further investigations on the mechanism and the enantioselective execution of this new reaction are under way.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

## References and Notes

- Altenbach, H. J. in *Comprehensive Organic Chemistry*, Trost, B. M.(Ed.), Pergamon Press, 6, 829-871 and references cited in.
- Tsuji, J, Mandai, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2830-2854.
  see also Tsuji, J. Palladium Reagents and Catalysts, J. Wiley & Sons, 1995. Malleron, J.-L., Fiaud, J.-C., Legros, J.-Y. Handbook of Palladium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions, Academic Press, 1997.
- 3. Brinkmeyer, R. S., Macdonald, T. L. J. C. S. Chem. Comm. 1978, 876 877. Macdonald, T. L., Reagan, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4740 -4747.
- 4. For a review of this reaction and references cited in see Nicholas, K. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 207-214. For theoretical investigations of this reaction see Schreiber, S. L., Klimas, M. T., Sammakia, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5749 5759.
- 5. Mahrwald, R., Schick, H. Angew. Chem. Ed. Int. Engl. 1991, 30, 593 594.
- 6. All new compounds have been satisfactorily charaterized (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, MS, elemental analysis). Preparation of 1-phenyl-1-*iso*propoxyhept-2-yne 2h: TiCl<sub>4</sub> (42 mg, 0.22 mmol) was carefully added to a stirred solution of 1-phenyl-1-acetoxyhept-2-yne (0,5 g, 2.2 mmol) in abs. *iso*PrOH (5.0 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at r.t. and after completion (TLC control) extracted several times with diethyl ether and aqu. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The org. layers were separated, concentrated i. vac. and purified by chromatography. 200 mg (42 %) of the isopropyl ether were isolated as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS) 0.78 (t, J= 7.2, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-6), 1.07 (d, J= 6.0, 3H, 1x CH<sub>3</sub>-iPr), 1.11 (d, J= 6.4, 3H, 1x CH<sub>3</sub>-iPr), 1.22- 1.47 (m, 4H, 2x CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.13 (t, J= 7.2, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-4), 3.85 (q, J= 6.0, 1H, CH-iPr), 5.07 (s, 1H, H-1), 7.14- 7.39 (m, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). ¹³C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS) 13.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>-7), 18.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>-4), 21.7 22.8 (2x CH<sub>3</sub>-iPr), 21.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.1 (C-0), 69.2 (CH-O), 78.9 (C-3), 87.4 (C-2), 127.3 127.9 128.3 (CH<sub>arom</sub>), 140.3 (C<sub>arom</sub>). MS: m/z (%) 231 (M<sup>+</sup>+1, 3), 230 (M<sup>+</sup>, 13), 187 (14), 171 (20), 145 (22), 129 (51), 115 (38), 105 (100), 91 (58), 77 (61), 57 (50), 43 (51)
- Saucy, G., Marbet, R., Lindlar, H., Isler, O. Helv. Chim. Acta 1959, 42, 1945-1952. Benn, W. R. J. Org. Chem, 1968, 33, 3113-3118. Schlossarczyk, H., Sieber, W., Hesse, M., Hannsen, H.-J., Schmid, H. Helv. Chim Acta 1973, 56, 875 944. S. E. Denmark in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 5, Ed. L. A. Paquette, Pergamon, 1991, 768.
- 8. Bhatt, R. K., Shin, D.-S., Falck, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4885-4888.
- 9. Normant, H., Mantione, R. C. R. Hebd. Seances. Acad. Sci. 1964, 259, 1635-1637.
- 10. Shi.; M., Shouki, K., Okamoto, Y., Takamuku, S. J. C. S. Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 2443 2450.
- 11. Mantione, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 4514 4552.
- 12. Beard, C. D., Baum, K., Grakauskas, V. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 3673 3677.